Advances in Fiber Alignment for TuFF Preforms:

Investigating Fiber Orientation Dynamics and Process Optimization

Presenter: Navid Niknafs

November 7th, 2023



Project Overview



"Advances in Fiber Alignment for TuFF Preforms"

(January 2022 - Present)

Objectives

- Investigate dynamics of fiber alignment within a water film.
 - o Propose a physics-based model fiber reorientation.
 - o Optimize the throughput of TuFF.
- Improve quality of TuFF preforms for thin ply (8 gsm blocks)
 - o Reduce window gap size distribution
 - o Retain areal weight uniformity

Approach

- o Benchmark current alignment process
- o Propose a physics-based model for fibers reorientation
- Identify origin of window gap formation
- o Design, fabricate new scalable spillway
- o Conduct experiments and characterize microstructure





Navid Niknafs Postdoctoral Researcher



Shridhar Yarlagadda Associate Professor



Uday Balaga Ph.D. Student



Suresh Advani Professor

Pavel Simacek

Research Associate

Composites Design

Manager



John W. Gillespie, Jr.

Professor



Dirk Heider Associate Professor





Joseph Deitzel Sr. Scientist





John Morris

Research

Technician II

Christopher Blackwell Mechanical Engineer (C.A. LLC)

alaga Alex Vanarelli

Project Overview - TuFF Thin Ply (8gsm building block using baseline alignment process)



- Highly aligned short fiber (IM7 3mm) in form of sheets:
 - IM7 3mm LM-PAEK
 - Fiber aspect ratio: 600:1
 - 95% fibers aligned $\pm 5^{\circ}$ _
 - 300psi consolidation pressure, 380C process temperature 50% nominal FVF.
 - Cross-ply laminates (areal weight of 90° layer included 60 gsm, 120 gsm and 240 gsm)
- Variability increases in thin ply 90-degree layers as ٠ areal weight decreases
 - Resin content
 - Fiber dispersion
 - Local FVF
 - Ply thickness
- 60 gsm \geq



Mean (%)

SD

CV (%)



➤ 120 gsm

60 gsm

35.9

5.5

15.5

Project Overview – Variation in TuFF Thin Ply



• Hypothesis: Reducing window gap size in preform will lead to

1) increase in Fiber Alignment

2) reduction in the variability.

- Fibers Direction
- To achieve higher FVF (57%):
 - ✓ 8 gsm preforms are stacked
 - ✓ Nesting occurs to fill window gaps
 - ✓ 120 gsm stack is current limit

- Approach
 - I. Characterize the fiber alignment process.
 - II. Develop a physics-based model for fiber orientation dynamics on the spillway.
 - III. Identify source of window gaps during fiber alignment.
 - IV. Develop technique to measure distribution of window gap in preform.
 - V. <u>Develop new spillway</u> for window gap reduction.
 - VI. Conduct key experiments to demonstrate feasibility.



Process Characterization



- Experimental Setup for Process Characterization
 - I. Water Film Thickness (confocal Displacement sensor)
 - II. Fiber Alignment Dynamics (Highspeed Camera)







Process Characterization- Water Film Thickness



• Water Film Thickness Measurement



Water/Fiber jet on the spillway



*Normalized Experimental Data





Highspeed imaging



Physics Based Model: Problem Formulation

UNIVERSITY OF DELAWARE CENTER FOR COMPOSITE MATERIALS Celebrating 50 Years

- Fiber position and orientation is described by its centroid position x_c and its orientation p.
- Fibers are subjected to a velocity field along their length.
- The transferred momentum to fibers from water rotates fibers with respect to the fiber relative velocity.
- $\succ \quad \text{Conservation of Linear momentum} \\ \int_{\frac{-L}{2}}^{\frac{L}{2}} \boldsymbol{v} \left(x_c + s \boldsymbol{p} \right) \dot{\boldsymbol{x}_c} s \dot{\boldsymbol{p}} \, ds = 0$

$$\dot{x_c} = V_x$$
$$= \frac{V_p \cdot V_p}{V_n \cdot V_n - (p \cdot V_n)^2} (p \times V_p)$$

Conservation of Angular momentum $\int_{-\frac{L}{2}}^{\frac{L}{2}} (v(x_c + sp) - \dot{x_c} - s\dot{p}) \times (x_c + sp)ds = 0$





Process Characterization – Physics Based Model

UNIVERSITY OF DELAWARE **CENTER FOR** COMPOSITE MATERIALS **Celebrating 50 Years**

Fiber orientation dynamics within a thin water film Inlet (1.5m/s)gBenchmark: obstacle on the spillway 0 Experimental **Digital Twin** Measurements Inlet Model Thickness (0.5mm)Water film Thickness 40mm Velocity COMSOL Field Fibers Velocity Solution: Water Domain Obstacle Flow Fiber Orientation Obstacle COMSOL Size 90mm Boundary Conditions: (0.3 mm)**Physics-based** = 0.3mmInlet Model Validation x model Polycarbonate Wall ▲ 6.5mm Outlet



•

Physics Based Model Validation

UNIVERSITY OF DELAWARE CENTER FOR COMPOSITE MATERIALS Celebrating 50 Years

- Fiber Orientation Dynamics
- Experimental data limitation: Distance of Fibers from Spillway Surface





Physics Based Model Validation - Fiber Orientation Distribution



UNIVERSITY OF DELAWARE CENTER FOR COMPOSITE MATERIALS Celebratina 50 Years

- Effect of obstacle on fiber orientation distribution.
- Fiber Orientation Distribution Tensor: •

 $A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} A_{xx} & A_{xy} & A_{xz} \\ A_{xy} & A_{yy} & A_{yz} \\ A_{xz} & A_{yz} & A_{zz} \end{bmatrix}$









Process Characterization – Water Film Thickness



- Frequent waves in measured data.
- Consistent waves within the flow.
- Waves visualization
- Analysis of wave's frequency





Hypothesized Source of Windows - Waves on the Spillway



• Hypothetical Characteristic Length of Windows (λ^*)



 f_s : Frequency of waves

 v_B : Belt Speed

 λ^* : Characteristic Length of Windows

$$\lambda^* = \frac{v_B}{f_s}$$

$$f_s = 45 \text{ Hz}$$

$$v_B = 2.75 \text{ mm/s}$$



TuFF Microstructure Quantification by ML Image Processing

- TuFF Sheet Microstructure
- Machine Learning application in Image Processing
- Segmented Image: Fibers vs. Windows

- Process segmented images on MATLAB
- Object Detection (Windows)
- Information of individual Windows
 (location, size, width, etc.)









Processed data

• Focus on Large Windows

Window Width Distribution





0

0.01 0.02 0.03 0.04

0.05 0.06 0.07

Gap Width (mm)

0.08 0.09

0.1

0.1

Process Improvement – New Manufacturing Design



- Ogee Spillway:
 - Provide a control over water depth for Dam's spillway.
 - Formulated by US Army Corps.
- Downstream Curve Formulation (Ogee Spillway)

$$x^n = k H_d^{n-1} y$$

$$Q = CLH_d^{1.5}$$

Approach Angle (Degree)	n	k
90	1.85	2
71	1.836	1.936
56	1.81	1.939
45	1.776	1.873

k, *n*: Design parameters based on the approach angle.

H_d: Head.

Q: Flow rate.

L: Width of spillway.



UNIVERSITY OF DELAWARE

COMPOSITE MATERIALS Celebratina 50 Years

CENTER FOR





Process Improvement – New Manufacturing Design



- Automated Design (in MATLAB) based on the Flow Thickness and Flow Rate.
- 3D Printed by PLA.







Fabricated setup mounted on the TuFF manufacturing line



Results – Wave Reduction

UNIVERSITY OF DELAWARE CENTER FOR COMPOSITE MATERIALS Celebrating 50 Years

- Significant wave reduction by the new design.
- Wave amplitude reduced by 2-4 fold.





2.6

2.8

3

2.2

2.4

Time(s)

Standard



Results – TuFF Microstructure Comparison

UNIVERSITY OF DELAWARE CENTER FOR COMPOSITE MATERIALS Celebrating 50 Years

• Visual Comparison



Areal Weight = 7.5 gsm



Areal Weight = 6.1 gsm



Results – TuFF Microstructure Comparison

UNIVERSITY OF DELAWARE CENTER FOR COMPOSITE MATERIALS Celebrating 50 Years

Quantitative Comparison



Eliminated waves by new spillway reduces gap windows width



Results – TuFF Microstructure Comparison (Fiber Alignment)



- Current alignment limitation: 95% fibers aligned ± 5°
- Describe each window by a triangle.

$$\alpha = \tan^{-1}(\frac{G}{L_f})$$

• α : Fibers Misalignment Threshold









Conclusions



- Benchmarked the current alignment process.
 - o Process characterization
 - $\circ~$ Quantitative analysis of TuFF microstructure.
- Proposed a physics-based model to predict fiber orientation within thin film of water.
- Hypothesis the origin of large window gaps.
- Designed, fabricated a new scalable spillway.
- The Ogee overflow design minimizes spillway wave amplitudes.
- Wave reduction on the spillway effectively closes large windows.
- Aligning fibers with reduced window width leads to
 - 1) TuFF preforms with higher fiber alignment.
 - 2) Thin ply composites with fewer layers and lower areal weight.













Acknowledgments



• This work is supported by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) through grant No. 80NSSC20M0164, provided by the Aeronautics Research Mission Directorate's Transformative Aeronautics Concepts Program, as part of the University Leadership Initiative,

and Composites Automation LLC through grant No. W911NF21C0034, provided by the Army Research Laboratory (ARL).



