

EVALUATION OF THE INTERFACIAL SHEAR STRENGTH AND ENERGY ABSORPTION OF POLYMER-CONTAINING POLYSILOXANE SIZINGS FOR E-GLASS FIBERS

MOTIVATIONS AND OBJECTIVES

Motivation: Increase the energy absorption of the composite material while maintaining strength through use of tailored chemical bonding between the fiber surface and matrix.

Objective: Evaluate the effect of different polymercontaining polysiloxane sizings on the energy absorption and strength of an E-glass/epoxy composite.

- E-glass fibers were sized with polysiloxane siznges synthesized using five different polymers from Arkema, Inc.
- > The interfacial strength and energy absorption in sized E-glass fibers/epoxy resin composites were evaluated using microdroplet test techniques.
- The sizings were further evaluated on a macroscale using the punch shear test techniques.



K. Danner (BChE),^a D. Kissounko,^a R. Barsotti^b

^aUniversity of Delaware . Center for Composite Materials . Department of Chemical Engineering ^bArkema Inc., 900 First Avenue, King of Prussia, PA





© 2009, University of Delaware, all rights reserved



ABBREVIATIONS USED

TES – tetraethoxysilane GPS – 3-glycidoxypropyl trimethoxysilane PMEA - polymethoxyethylacrylate P(MEA-co-MAA) - random co-polymer with methacrylic acid P(MEA-co-HEMA) - random co-polymer with hydroxyethylmethacrylate P(MEA-co-GMA) random co-polymer with glycidyl methacrylate P(MEA-co-TIPSA) random co-polymer with triisopropyl silyl acrylate MMAM – ethanonlamine-modified modified poly(methylmethacrylate-butylacrylate-methylmethacrylate) block co-polymer MSBM – ethanonlamine-modified modified poly(styrenebutadiene-methylmethacrylate) block co-polymer

VOID AND FIBER CONTENT

 ϕ_{void} =

 $\phi_{fiber} = 100$

where : $X_f = fibe$

 $X_m = \text{matrix mass } \% = 100 - X_f$

mass of sample in air specific gravity(T) = $\frac{1}{\text{mass of sample in air - mass of sample in water}}$ $D_f =$ fiber density

 $D_m = matrix density$

Fiber Volum Void Co

$$100 - D_{C} \left(\frac{X_{m}}{D_{m}} + \frac{X_{f}}{D_{f}} \right)$$
$$0 - D_{C} \left(\frac{X_{m}}{D_{m}} \right) - \phi_{void} = D_{C} \times \frac{X_{f}}{D_{f}}$$
er mass % = 100 * $\frac{\text{fiber mass}}{\text{sample mass}}$

 D_C = specific gravity(T)* water density(T)

CONCLUSIONS

Analyze the composition of the sizing on glass surface using NMR to understand why the random co-polymers are not as effective sizing components as block copolymers. Perform Atomic Force Microscopy on glass surface to see how the sizing aggregates. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS This work is supported by the Arkema, Inc. (CCMT# 432222) for which we are grateful.

Random co-polymers do not show any improvement in strength or energy absorption over block co-polymers. The PMEA homopolymer demonstrates nearly equal energy absorption and interfacial shear strength as the MMAM block co-polymer, yet the other random copolymers were inferior to the MMAM polymer as components of polysiloxane sizings in Eglass/epoxy composites.



PUNCH SHEAR TEST RESULTS CONT.

ng for E-glass/epoxy panel	Normal Strength (MPa)
PMEA/TES/GPS/SiO ₂ nanoparticles 1:1:1:1 wt%	109.75±0.35
MMAM/TES/GPS/SiO ₂ nanoparticles 1:1:1:1 wt%	70.38±2.14
MSBM/TES/GPS/SiO ₂ nanoparticles 1:1:1:1 wt%	56.76±2.16
Unsized E-glass fiber	40.37±1.56

FUTURE WORK