PERFORMANCE OF FUNCTIONALLY GRADED S-2 GLASS/EPOXY COMPOSITES FOR ARMOR APPLICATIONS

Munetaka Kubota (Ph.D.M.S.E.)^{1,2}, Ted Lake¹, Dr. Bazle Z. (Gama) Haque¹, Prof. John W. Gillespie, Jr.^{1,2}, Prof. Giuseppe R. Palmese³, Dr. Daniel J. O'Brien⁴ University of Delaware | Center for Composite Materials¹ | Department of Materials Science and Engineering² | Drexel University³ | Army Research Laboratory⁴

Introduction

structural/armor GFRP Current components are made using a woven S-2 Glass infused with SC-15 toughened epoxy system and are not through thickness functionalized for optimum performance

• In this part of the program utilizes a materials by design (MbD) approach to improve the protective properties of a stand alone GFRP armor laminates





Phase |

Phase II

Phase IV

Fig. 1: Left: Army armored vehicle¹ and Right: GFRP laminate being impacted at high velocities

Penetration in GFRP Penetration event in GFRP is divided into 5 phases shown in Fig. 2, according to Gama² These phases have different. damage modes and thus, require different properties Through thickness functionalization is desirable to meet the needs of phase I-V throughout the impact event Fig. 2: Phases of a penetration in a thick

Functionally Graded Material

• The different phases of a penetration require different properties

By functionally grading the through thickness properties to create a functionally graded material (FGM) the material can be tailored to meet the specific needs, schematic seen in Fig 3.

• The strike face needs high Fig. 3: Schematic of a penetration resistance through FGM design for armor high punch crush and punch applications shear strength

• The back face will require high in-plane tension but lower interlaminar toughness to absorb energy delamination



section composite²

fracture though





Laminate Fabrication

• High fiber volume fraction (FVF) and potential hybridization requirements required a new laminate fabrication methodology to be developed

 A wet-layup/compression molding hybrid method was developed to achieve >65% FVF with <1% void content was developed show in the fig. 4



Fig. 5: Schematic of the hybrid wet layup/compression molding process developed for high-FVF, low-void content structural-protective GFRP laminates

• Using this methodology, 1" thick samples were fabricated for Depth of Penetration (DoP) tests, $\frac{1}{4}$ " thick samples were made for thin-laminate Ballistic Limit (BL) tests, and ³/₄" thick FGM samples were made for the Capstone ballistic tests

• The top performer from Strike Face the DoP and the thin High FVF, IFSS, & Resin Toughness laminate BL was used in a thickness ratio to 2:1 create the FGM design seen in Fig. 8 and tested using a BL test with 3/4" High FVF & Resin Toughness, Low IFSS Fig. 8: Sectioned FGM panel to thick laminates show design Damage mode was controlled and a the FGM design absorbed >30% more energy for comparable areal density



• The back face requires high in-plane tension and the ability to delaminate extensively to "catch" the projectile, tested using a thin laminate BL test, seen in Fig 7



Fig. 7: Top Left: Schematic of the BL test, Bottom Left: shows the extent of delamination, and Right: Residual velocity vs impact velocity

FGM Design and Performance

FGM Capstone Microstructure



 MbD successfully approach was implemented to improve the penetration resistance of GFRP through material design This work shows the significance of considering the fiber, matrix, and fiber/matrix interface as a system and the proper design/selection can influence the damage mode and extent, ultimately influencing the performance



 Custom tailored interfaces can be synthesized onto the S-2 Glass® • A Physics Informed Machine Learning a complimentary platform and vapor deposition approach is being developed to optimized the interface morphology to maximize energy absorption



Fig. 10: Interface tailoring infrastructure development

References

369 (2008).

Acknowledgements

Special thanks to Kara Pelster, James Tallman, and Joshua Yu for their help with interface testing, process development, and panel fabrication

Research was sponsored by the Army Research Laboratory and was accomplished under Cooperative Agreement Number W911NF-12-2-0022.

Conclusions



Back Face Fig. 9: Damage comparison of the strike and back face between the baseline and FGM

Path Forward

1. AGY. S-2 Glass [®] Composite Armor Systems Optimized Solutions for **Ballistic and Structural Applications.**

. Wallenberger, F. T., & Bingham, P. A. (n.d.). Fiberglass and Glass Technology Energy-Friendly Compositions and Applications.

3. Gama, B. A. & Gillespie, J. W. Punch shear-based penetration model of ballistic impact of thick-section composites. Compos Struct 86, 356-