INVESTIGATING THE EFFECTS OF EXTREME ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS ON PEEL STRENGTH OF COMPOSITE ARMOR WITH INTERLAYERS



Introduction and Motivation

- Goal: Characterize the peel strength of • composites with different epoxy resins under extreme environmental conditions
- Characterization involves multiple steps 1) Composite Manufacturing 2) Curing 3) Peel SEM Testing Imaging





- VARTM is an effective method for composites manufacturing
- Uses a vacuum seal which draws resin across a distribution media to allow for infusion of resin into a composite preform
- The vacuum assistance helps to reduce stress concentrations due to air pockets
- Four types of panels were created and tested; Baseline and Interlayer for both RDL/RDC & SC15



Kyle Morris (BME)^{1 2 3}, Rohan Yarlagadda (BME)^{1 2 3}, Sagar Doshi (Ph.D.)², Dr. Joseph Deitzel (Ph.D.)² ¹University of Delaware | ²Center for Composite Materials | ³Department of Mechanical Engineering

30

0

Peel Test



- Measures average peel strength
- Conducted using specially designed floating roller peel fixture
- Displacement rate: 6 in/min (ASTM D903)
- Minimum of 3 inch test
- The two modes of failure shown on the right are cohesive and adhesive
- Cohesive failure indicates a failure along the TPU or the resin
- Adhesive failure indicates failure between the fibers and resin

Results and Discussion



Peel Strength At Room Temp With Acc. Aging



• Peel strength for baseline RDL & SC15 improve at extreme temperatures while the interlayer specimens get weaker

• The opposite was observed with the Accelerated Aging specimens with RDL increasing the most with interlayer

• SC15 with interlayer has the largest peel strength of all the test and performs better on average than RDL Specimens

Effect of resin penetration into TPU



RDL VARTM	(lbs/inch)
Room Temperature Infusion	63.9 ± 13.4
60 C Infusion	25.1 ± 6.0

- TPU temperature at elevated significantly increases the peel strength
- Higher viscosity at room temperature \rightarrow less penetration
- Lower viscosity at elevated temperatures \rightarrow greater penetration

Findings





• The next step is to improve the properties of both resins at extreme temperatures as this interlayer proved to be ineffective

10 mm

Acknowledgements

This research was sponsored by the U.S. Army CCDC Army Research Laboratory and was accomplished under Cooperative Agreement Number W911NF-18-2-0299. The views and conclusions contained in this document are those of the authors and should not be interpreted as representing the official policies, either expressed or implied, of the Army Research Laboratory or the U.S. Government. The U.S. Government is authorized to reproduce and distribute reprints for Government purposes notwithstanding any copyright notation herein.



CENTER FOR **COMPOSITE MATERIALS**

• 76 C \rightarrow TPU cohesive failure • -55 C \rightarrow Adhesive interface failure • $RT \rightarrow$, Mixed failure, fiber interface/TPU yielding



Accelerated Aged Room Temperature



Co-Sponsored by: **University Leadership Initiative (ULI)**

