# OPTIMIZING THE FIBER-MATRIX INTERPHASE FOR CONTROLLED ENERGY ABSORPTION AND STRENGTH

D. Kissounko (CCM), J. W. Gillespie (CCM), J. Deitzel (CCM), A. Abu-Obaid (CCM), D. Pappas (ARL), R. Jensen (ARL), J. Yim (DU), Y. Mukhin (DU), G. Palmese (DU)

University of Delaware . Center for Composite Materials . Army Research Laboratory, Aberdeen, MD . Drexel University . Department of Chemical & Biological Engineering





(Continued)



R' = -OH,

HO-0H = 1a, 1b



**FIBERS** 

TESICPERIN

 $16.52 \pm 2.56$ 

57 25 + 5 29

Rubber species contribute to enhanced

energy absorption

108 97+ 8 48

E<sup>so</sup>us, kJ/m

HIFSS, MPA

 $3.52 \pm 0.77$ 

3 91 + 0 84

3 98 + 0 86

F also, M.Ver

E ton MJ/m

26.90 ± 2.61

67 37 + 5 46

119 37+ 8 76

### E-GLASS FIBER POLYSILOXANE **SIZING OPTIMIZATION (CONT.)**



.

•

.

.

•

•

•

# **CONCLUSIONS**

The combination of hard (silica) and soft (latex) nanoparticles works best for strength and energy absorption in E-glass fibers. The study shows that hard particles contribute to the surface roughness increase and interfacial strength, whereas rubber particles contribute to improved energy absorption.

The best mechanical performance of E-glass/Epoxy composite was observed in the following concentrations' range: 0.8-1.5 wt% tetraethoxysilane (TES)/3-glycidoxypropyltrimethoxy silane (GPS) mixture, and 0.8-1.5 wt% Ludox® silica nanoparticles: 1-1.5 TES/GPS ratio, and 20 nm silica nanoparticles size. Increase of nanoparticles sizes leads the decline in both strength and energy absorption.

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

- Dr. Jack Gillespie CCM
- Dr. Joseph Deitzel CCM
- Dr. Ahmad Abu-Obaid CCM
- Dr. Xiao Gao CCM alumni
- Ms. Jacqueline Yim Drexel Universitv
- Dr. Yurii Mukhin Drexel Universitv
- Dr. Giuseppe Palmese Drexel University
- Dr. Daphne Pappas ARL
- Dr. Rob Jensen ARL
- Dr. Steve McKnight ARL ARL - \$\$\$